This article was downloaded by: On: 23 January 2011 Access details: Access Details: Free Access Publisher Taylor & Francis Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



Journal of Carbohydrate Chemistry

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information: http://www.informaworld.com/smpp/title~content=t713617200

A New Approach to the Synthesis of Etoposide (VP 16) Cenek Kolar; Hans Moldenhauer; Günther Kneißl

To cite this Article Kolar, Cenek, Moldenhauer, Hans and Kneißl, Günther(1990) 'A New Approach to the Synthesis of Etoposide (VP 16)', Journal of Carbohydrate Chemistry, 9: 5, 571 – 583 **To link to this Article: DOI:** 10.1080/07328309008543854

URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/07328309008543854

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Full terms and conditions of use: http://www.informaworld.com/terms-and-conditions-of-access.pdf

This article may be used for research, teaching and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, re-distribution, re-selling, loan or sub-licensing, systematic supply or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden.

The publisher does not give any warranty express or implied or make any representation that the contents will be complete or accurate or up to date. The accuracy of any instructions, formulae and drug doses should be independently verified with primary sources. The publisher shall not be liable for any loss, actions, claims, proceedings, demand or costs or damages whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with or arising out of the use of this material.

A NEW APPROACH TO THE SYNTHESIS OF ETOPOSIDE (VP 16)

Cenek Kolar,* Hans Moldenhauer and Günther Kneißl

Research Laboratories of Behringwerke AG, P.O.Box 1140, D-3550 Marburg, FRG

Received September 12, 1989 - Final form April 18, 1990

ABSTRACT

The synthesis of the glycosyl donors 2,3-di-O-acetyl- and 2,3-di-O-chloroacetyl-4,6-O-ethylidene- β -D-glucopyranose (10 β) and (11 β) and their use for the glycosidation of 4'-O-benzyloxycarbonyl- and 4'-O-chloroacetyl-4'-O-demethyl-4-epi-podophyllotoxins (12) and (13) is described. Starting from benzyl β -D-glucopyranoside (6), benzyl 2,3-di-O-acetyl- and 2,3-di-O-chloroacetyl-4,6-O-ethylidene- β -D-glucopyranoside (8) and (9) were prepared. Hydrogenolysis of the benzyl group in 8 or 9 afforded the β -hydroxy glucopyranose donors 10 β and 11 β . Condensation of 10 β or 11 β with 4'-O-Z-epi-podophyllotoxin 12 in the presence of BF₃-etherate gave selectively the 4-O-(2,3-di-O-acetyl- or -2,3-di-O-chloroacetyl-4,6-O-ethylidene- β -D-glucopyranosyl)-epi-podophyllotoxins 14 β and 15 β , respectively. The β -glycoside 16 was prepared in the same manner starting from 11 β and 4'-O-chloroacetyl-epi-podophyllotoxin 13. By deblocking (Dowex 1X8, 3:2 methanol-chloroform) of the chloroacetyl groups in 15 β and the following hydrogenolysis of the benzyloxycarbonyl group in 17 etoposide 1 was obtained. The deacylation of 16 afforded 1 in a one step procedure.

INTRODUCTION

Etoposides¹ represent an important group of substances among the antitumor chemotherapeutic agents. Two of the substances, Etoposide (VP-16) 1 and Teniposide

(VM-26) 2, have already proved to be clinically successful for the treatment of small cell lung cancers, lymphoma, leukemia and Karposi's sarcoma.²



In the synthesis of etoposides the most difficult and demanding steps are considered to be the glycosidation and deacylation steps. As described by Kuhn et al.,^{3,4} glycosidation⁴ of epi-podophyllotoxin requires the use of a β -anomeric glucopyranose donor. At C-4 the epi-podophyllotoxin forms a carbonium ion in the presence of BF₃-etherate which is attacked by the hydroxy group of the β -glucopyranose to provide a β -glycosidic linkage. As has been reported 2,3-di-O-acetyl- and 2,3-di-O-chloroacetyl-4,6-O-ethylidene-B-D-glucopyranose (10 β)⁵ and (11 β)⁷ each proved to be useful donors for glycosidation. A problem in the deacylation of the glycosyl-epi-podophyllotoxin by ethanolysis with a zinc(II) salt catalyst is a concomintant cleavage of the lactone ring which cannot be avoided.³

In the present paper we present a new method for the synthesis of the β -glucopyranose donors as well as a simple procedure for the deacylation of chloroacetyl protecting groups.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The synthesis of the donors 10β and 11β from the corresponding 1-O-benzyloxycarbonyl precursors was achieved by means of hydrogenolysis.^{5,6} Because there is a simple access of benzyl 2,3,4,6-tetra-O-acetyl- β -D-glucopyranoside (5)^{7,8} it was decided to prepare the β -glucopyranose donors 10β and 11β using a procedure in which the β -anomeric position is fixed from the start.

The benzyl glucoside 5 is usually synthesized from acetobromoglucose 3 and benzyl alcohol using mercury or silver salts as the promoter.^{8,9} In order to avoid the use of these poisonous and/or expensive salts we employed 2,3,4,6-tetra-O-acetyl- α -D-gluco-pyranosyl fluoride (4)⁹ for the synthesis of 5. The process of glycosylation in the presence

of BF₃-etherate as the promoter¹⁰ and ethyl acetate as the reaction medium affords 5 in a yield of 76%. When solvents other than acetonitrile or dichloromethane, usually employed for this synthesis, were used^{10,11} the glycosylation process led to less consistent results.



Deacylation of 5 by the method of Zemplén provided benzyl β -D-glucopyranoside (6) which could be reacted with acetaldehyde and conc. sulfuric acid as catalyst to provide the 4,6-O-ethylidene-glucoside 7 in a yield of 82%. Subsequent acylation of 7 with acetic anhydride-pyridine or chloroacetyl chloride-triethylamine in dichloromethane then yielded the 2,3-di-O-acetate 8 or the 2,3-di-O-chloroacetate 9.

The benzyl group in 8 was cleaved hydrogenolytically using 10% Pd/C in ethyl acetate to give the β -anomer 10 β with the optical rotation value of $[\alpha]_D^{23}$ -25.5° (c 0.87, ethyl acetate). For the hydrogenolysis (10% Pd/C) of the benzyl group in 9, however, 10:1 acetone-ethanol proved to be a suitable reaction medium.⁶ Mutarotation of the resulting β -anomer 11 β ($[\alpha]_D^{23}$ -27.5°) proceeds relatively slowly in the reaction medium (see Fig. 1). The ¹H-NMR spectra of 10 β or 11 β in acetone-d₆ show the presence of the anomeric β -OH group (J_{1,2}= 7.7 Hz) and the intramolecular hydrogen bonding between the hydroxy group and the ring oxygen atom (OH-1: 6.16 ppm, J_{1,OH} = 6.8 Hz, ⁴J_{2,OH} = 1.0 Hz).

To obtain further data on the speed of mutarotation under the conditions of hydrogenolysis and glycosidation, the changes in optical rotation of 10β and 11β were



Fig.1. Speed of mutarotation of $10\beta \rightarrow 10\alpha$ and $11\beta \rightarrow 11\alpha$ under the conditions hydrogenolysis and glycosidation.

measured in different solutions at room temperature and at -20 °C during a period of 67 h (Fig. 1). The measurements showed that under the chosen conditions of hydrogenolysis (ethyl acetate for 10 β and 10:1 acetone-ethanol for 11 β) the mutarotation proceeds linearly with about +0.25°/h. When the β -anomers 10 β or 11 β were dissolved in dichloromethane at -20 °C, the mutarotation proceeded faster (about +2.5°/h). Under the conditions of glycosidation (dichloromethane/BF₃-etherate at -20 °C) the optical rotation value changed exponentially in the first hour and stabilized during the next 1 h ([a]_D about +31°). It can be deduced that due to the influence of BF₃-etherate the equilibrium between α - and β -anomers was achieved. Indeed, when 10 β was chromatographed on silica gel with dichloromethane-acetone, a mixture of the α - and β -anomer (10 α :10 β = 4:3; ¹H NMR, CDCl₃) was obtained with a comparable optical rotation value ([α]_D = +30.1°). Compound 11 β was likewise converted to the mixture of α - and β -anomer (11 α :11 β = 1:1; ¹H NMR, CDCl₃) by chromatography on silica gel. The ¹H resonances of the α -anomers 10 α and 11 α were assigned without doubt by means of ¹H NMR, ¹H, ¹H-COSY and ¹H, ¹³C-COSY spectra of the mixture of α - and β -anomers.

The β -anomers 10 β and 11 β were used for glycosidation of 4'-O-benzyloxycarbonyl(Z)- and 4'-O-chloroacetyl(ClAc)-epi-podophyllotoxins 12 ^{3,12} and 13 ⁶ under essentially the reaction conditions as described (BF₃-etherate, dichloromethane, molecular sieves 4 Å, at -20 °C).^{5,6} The synthesis afforded the β -glycosidically-linked products 14 β , 15 β or 16 in a yield of 70% to 80%. The α -glycosides were produced in trace amounts only. When the mixture of α - and β -anomers 10 α /10 β or 11 α /11 β was used to glycosidate 4'-O-Z-epi-podophylotoxin 12 under the same reaction conditions, a mixture of α - and β -glycosides 14 α /14 β or 15 α /15 β was obtained in about the same ratio as the starting α - and β -anomer donors.



Deacylation of the protected etoposides is particularly difficult due to the occurrence of secondary reactions, i.e. epimerization at the C-2 atom (base-catalyzed reaction) and cleavage of the γ -lactone (acid-catalyzed reaction) of the epi-podophyllotoxin aglycon.³ As expected, cleavage of the acetyl protecting groups in 14 β using the described procedure³ (ZnCl₂, methanol, reflux) did not afford 17 in satisfactory purity and yield.

Since similar difficulties with the chloroacetyl-protected¹⁴ derivatives were expected novel cleavage procedures for these protecting groups were of interest. It was observed that dechloroacylation could be performed with ion-exchange resin Dowex 1X8

(mesh size: 20-50; counter-ion: H) in methanol or 3:2 methanol-dichloromethane at room temperature without any considerable formation of secondary products. Deacylation of 15 β with Dowex 1X8 at pH 7 (solution) leads to 4'-O-Z-etoposide 17 within 1 h. Under the above described conditions deacylation of 16 leads directly to etoposide 1. Since, under these conditions, the O-acetyl protecting groups in the diacetate 14 β are left in tact, this new method should prove useful even for partial deblocking of mixed acylated compounds. When the benzyloxycarbonyl protecting group in 17 is hydrogenolytically cleaved with 10% Pd/C in methanol the substance is completely converted into etoposide 1.

EXPERIMENTAL

General Procedures. Reactions were carried out at ambient temperature unless otherwise stated. Solutions were concentrated under reduced pressure below 40 °C bath temperature. The phosphate or citrate buffer solutions used to wash the organic phases were prepared as follows: aqueous 0.1M potassium dihydrogen phosphate or 0.1M sodium citrate solutions were adjusted to the corresponding pH value using 0.1N NaOH or 0.1N HCl. Melting points, determined on a Büchi apparatus, are uncorrected. ¹H NMR spectra were recorded at 200 MHz, 300 MHz or 400 MHz on a Bruker AC-200, a Bruker AC-300 or a Bruker AM-400 respectively and at 400 MHz also on a Jeol GX-400 NMR spectrometer. Chemical shifts for ¹H resonances were recorded relative to tetramethylsilane (0.0). The ¹H resonances were routinely assigned by ¹H,¹H-COSY experiments using the standard pulse sequences of the Bruker Aspect-300 software. Specific rotations were determined with a Perkin-Elmer 241 polarimeter equipped with 10 cm cuvettes. Reactions were monitored by TLC on silica gel plates 60 F 254 (Merck) and the spots were detected by ultraviolet light or by spraying with ethanolic 10% sulfuric acid solution and subsequent heating to 150-200 °C. The glycoside syntheses were performed under an argon or nitrogen cover. Preparative chromatography was performed on silica gel (Merck Kieselgel 60, particle size 0.015-0.040 mm) with the solvent system specified.

Benzyl 2,3,4,6-Tetra-O-acetyl-β-D-glucopyranoside (5). 2,3,4,6-Tetra-O-acetylα-D-glucopyranosyl fluoride (4, 60 g, 171 mmol) and benzyl alcohol (20 g, 184.9 mmol) were coevaporated *in vacuo* with toluene (700 mL). The mixture was dissolved in dry ethyl acetate (600 mL), BF₃-etherate (50%, 31 mL) was added at 0 °C and the mixture stirred for 16 h at 0 °C. After addition of triethylamine (25 mL) at 0 °C, the mixture was evaporated *in vacuo*. The residue was dissolved in dichloromethane, washed with citrate buffer (pH 3.5) and aqueous NaCl solution successively. The organic layer was dried (sodium sulfate) and concentrated *in vacuo*. Chromatography of the residue on silica gel (800 g) in 13:1 dichloromethane-ethyl acetate afforded 5 (61.4 g, 82%): mp 100 °C; $[\alpha]_D$ -50.7° (c 1, dichloromethane). Lit.^{8,13}: mp 100 °C; $[\alpha]_D$ -53.3° (chloroform), -51° (ethanol). **Benzyl** β -D-Glucopyranoside (6). Compound 5 (73.1 g, 167 mmol) was dissolved in dry methanol (500 mL) and deacetylated with a solution of 1M NaOMe in methanol at pH 12. After neutralization with Dowex 50 Wx8 (mesh size: 200-400; counter-ion: Na), the suspension was filtered and the filtrate was than concentrated *in vacuo*. The resulting product (45.1 g) showed one spot on TLC and was used in subsequent steps without further purification: [α]_D -53.0° (c 1, EtOH). Lit.⁸: mp 122 °C; [α]_D -57.6° (c 1.5, MeOH)

Benzyl 4,6-O-Ethylidene-B-D-glucopyranoside (7). To a stirred suspension of 6 (45 g, 166 mmol) in dioxane at 10 °C was added acetaldehyde (23 mL) and dropwise conc. H_2SO_4 (1.3 g). The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 16 h, neutralized with a solution of 0.1M sodium methylate in methanol and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was dissolved in ethyl acetate (400 mL) and the organic layer was washed with saturated aqueous NaCl solution (150 mL x 3), dried (sodium sulfate) and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was crystallized from ether to give 7 (30.5 g, 62%). The remaining syrup was chromatographed on a column of silica gel (150 g) with 1:1:0.1 dichloromethane-ethyl acetate-petroleum ether to give a further amount of 7 (10 g, 20%): mp 162 °C; $[\alpha]_D^{23}$ -79.5° (c 1, ethyl acetate); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.37-7.32 (m, 5H, Ph), 4.91 (d, 1H, ${}^{2}J$ = 11.5 Hz, Ph-CH-A), 4.73 (q, 1H, J_{MeCH} = 5.0 Hz, Me-CH=), 4.60 (d, 1H, ²J, Ph-CH-B), 4.45 (d, 1H, $J_{1,2}$ = 7.7 Hz, H-1), 4.18 (dd, 1H, $J_{5,6eq}$ = 4.6 Hz, ²J = 10.5 Hz, H-6eq), 3.72 (ddd, 1H, $J_{2,3} = 9.2$ Hz, $J_{3,4} = 9.0$ Hz, $J_{3,OH} = 2.5$ Hz, H-3), 3.59 (dd, 1H, $J_{5,6ax} = 9.5$ Hz, ²J, H-6ax), 3.48 (ddd, 1H, $J_{1,2}$, $J_{2,3}$, $J_{2,OH} = 3.5$ Hz, H-2), 3.35 (dd, 1H, $J_{3,4}$, $J_{4,5} = 9.0$ Hz, H-4), 3.29 (ddd, 1H, $J_{4,5}$, $J_{5,6ax}$, $J_{5,6eq}$, H-5), 3.10 (d, 1H, $J_{3,OH}$, OH-3), 2.88 (br s, 1H, OH-2), 1.38 (d, 3H, J_{Me,CH}, CH₃-C=).

Anal. Calcd for C₁₅H₂₀O₆: C, 60.80; H, 6.80. Found: C, 60.72; H, 6.82.

Benzyl 2,3-Di-O-acetyl-4,6-ethylidene-β-D-glucopyranoside (8). Compound 7 (4.12 g, 13.90 mmol) was dissolved in 1:1 dichloromethane-pyridine (120 mL) and acetic anhydride (5.3 mL) was added at 0 °C. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 16 h and concentrated *in vacuo*. The residue was dissolved in chloroform (120 mL) and successively washed with phosphate buffer (pH 8, 60 mL x 3), aqueous 0.1N HCl to remove pyridine and saturated NaCl aqueous solution. The organic layer was dried (sodium sulfate) and concentrated *in vacuo*. The resulting product was crystallized from ether-petroleum ether to give 8 (4.75 g, 90%): mp 155 °C; $[\alpha]_D^{23}$ -87° (c 1, chloroform); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.38-7.24 (m, 5H, Ph), 5.17 (dd, 1H, J_{2,3} = 9.2 Hz, J_{3,4} = 9.5 Hz, H-3), 5.00 (dd, 1H, J_{1,2} = 7.7 Hz, J_{2,3}, H-2), 4.87 (d, 1H, ²J = 12.0 Hz, Ph-CH-A), 4.68 (q, 1H, J_{Me,CH} = 5.0 Hz, Me-CH=), 4.59 (d, 1H, ²J, Ph-CH-B), 4.59 (d, 1H, J_{1,2}, H-1), 4.21 (dd, 1H, J_{5,6eq} = 4.8 Hz, ²J = 10.0 Hz, H-6eq), 3.49 (dd, 1H, J_{3,4}, J_{4,5} = 9.0 Hz, H-4), 3.25 (ddd, 1H, J_{4,5}, J_{5,6ax}, J_{5,6eq}, H-5), 2.06 and 2.00 (2s, 6H, CH₃-CO), 1.32 (d, 3H, J_{Me,CH}, CH₃-C=).

Anal. Calcd for C19H24O8: C, 59.99; H, 6.36. Found: C, 59.94; H, 6.38.

Benzyl 2,3-Di-O-chloroacetyl-4,6-O-ethylidene-\beta-D-glucopyranoside (9). Compound 7 (25 g, 84.3 mmol) was at first coevaporated with toluene, then dissolved in dry

dichloromethane (400 mL), and dry triethylamine (56 mL) was added. To a stirred mixture at -20 °C was added dropwise a solution of chloroacetyl chloride (20 mL, 252.9 mmol) in dichloromethane (250 mL). After 4 h stirring at -20 °C a further amount of triethylamine (11 mL) and chloroacetyl chloride (7 mL) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred for a further 10 h, filtered, and successively washed with phosphate buffer (pH 8.5, 120 mL x 2) and citrate buffer (pH 5.5, 100 mL). The organic layer was dried (sodium sulfate) and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was filtered on a column of silica gel (100 g) with 6:6:1 dichloromethane-petroleum ether-ethyl acetate. The remaining product was crystallized from ether-petroleum ether to give 9 (28.7 g, 76%): mp 105 °C; [a]_D -64.9° (c 1.0, dichloromethane); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.38-7.24 (m, 5H, Ph), 5.25 (dd, 1H, $J_{23} = 9.5$ Hz, $J_{3,4} = 9.5$ Hz, H-3), 5.06 (dd, 1H, $J_{1,2} = 7.7$ Hz, $J_{2,3}$, H-2), 4.88 (d, 1H, $^{2}J = 7.7$ Hz, $J_{2,3}$, H-2), 4.88 (d, 1H, 2) 12.0 Hz, Ph-CH-A), 4.69 (q, 1H, $J_{Me,CH} = 5.0$ Hz, Me-CH=), 4.63 (d, 1H, $J_{1,2}$, H-1), 4.59 (d, 1H ²J, Ph-CH-B), 4.23 (dd, 1H, $J_{5.6ea}$ = 4.5 Hz, ²J 10.5 Hz, H-6eq), 4.08 (d, 1H, ²J = 15.0 Hz, Cl-CH-A), 4.02 (d, 1H, ²J, Cl-CH-B), 3.96 (d, 1H, ²J = 15.0 Hz, Cl-CH-A'), 3.88 (d, 1H, ²J, Cl-CH-B'), 3.60 (dd, 1H, $J_{5.6ax} = 10.0$ Hz, ²J, H-6ax), 3.54 (dd, 1H, $J_{3.4}$, $J_{4.5} =$ 9.5 Hz, H-4), 3.37 (ddd, 1H, J_{4.5}, J_{5.6ax}, J_{5.6eq}, H-5), 1.32 (d, 3H, J_{Me,CH}, CH₃-C=).

Anal. Calcd for C₁₉H₂₂Cl₂O₈: C, 50.79; H, 4.94; Cl, 15.78. Found: C, 50.83; H, 4.97; Cl, 15.60.

2,3-Di-*O*-acetyl-4,6-*O*-ethylidene-β-D-glucopyranose (10β). Compound 8 (1.0 g, 2.62 mmol) in ethyl acetate (40 mL) was hydrogenolyzed in the presence of 10% Pd/C (1.0 g) at atmospheric pressure and room temperature for 1.5 h. The catalyst was filtered off on magnesium sulfate and washed with ethyl acetate at 0 °C, and the combined filtrates and washings were concentrated *in vacuo* at room temperature. The remaining syrup (0.73 g, 96%) showed one spot on TLC and was used in subsequent steps without further purification: $[\alpha]_D^{23}$ -25.5° (c 0.87, ethyl acetate), -24° (c 0.68, acetone); Lit.⁵: mp 109-111 °C; $[a]_D$ -35.9° (c 1.006, chloroform); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, (CD₃)₂CO) δ 6.16 (br d, 1H, J_{1,OH} = 6.8 Hz, ⁴J_{2,OH} = 1 Hz, OH-1), 5.05 (dd, 1H, J_{2,3} = 9.6 Hz, J_{3,4} = 9.6 Hz, H-3), 4.77 (dd, 1H, J_{1,2} = 7.7 Hz, H-1), 4.67 (dd, 1H, J_{1,2}, J_{2,3}, ⁴J, H-2), 4.62 (q, 1H, J_{Me,CH} = 5.0 Hz, Me-CH=), 3.95 (dd, 1H, J_{5,6eq} = 4.3 Hz, ²J = 9.9 Hz, H-6eq), 3.42 (dd, 1H, J_{5,6ax} = 10.0 Hz, ²J, H-6ax), 3.38 (dd, 1H, J_{3,4}, J_{4,5} = 9.7 Hz, H-4), 3.33 (ddd, 1H, J_{4,5}, J_{5,6ax}, J_{5,6eq}, H-5), 1.85 and 1.84 (2s, 2H, CH₃-CO), 1.09 (d, 1H, J_{Me,CH}, CH₃-C=).

Anal. Calcd for C₁₂H₁₈O₈: C, 49.65; H, 6.25. Found: C, 49.38; H, 6.25.

2,3-Di-O-acetyl-4,6-O-ethylidene-α,β-D-glucopyranose (10α/10α). Compound **10**β (0.73 g, 2.51 mmol) was chromatographed on a column of silica gel (25 g) with 4:1 dichloromethane-acetone to give product mixture 10α:10β (4:3): syrup; $[\alpha]_D^{23}$ + 30.1° (c 1, ethyl acetate); *Compound* 10α: ¹H NMR (400 MHz, (CD₃)₂CO), δ 6.03 (dd, 1H, J_{1,OH} = 4.6 Hz, ⁴J_{2,OH} = 1.2 Hz, OH-1), 5.36 (dd, 1H, J_{2,3} = 9.9 Hz, J_{3,4} = 9.9 Hz, H-3), 5.21 (dd, 1H, J_{1,2} = 3.6 Hz, J_{1,OH}, H-1), 4.63 (ddd, 1H, J_{1,2}, J_{2,3}, ⁴J, H-2), 4.62 (q, 1H, J_{Me,CH} = 5.0 Hz, Me-CH=), 3.87 (dd, 1H, J_{5,6eq} = 4.9 Hz, ²J = 9.9 Hz, H-6eq), 3.80 (ddd, 1H, J_{4,5} = 9.8 Hz, $J_{5,6ax} = 9.8$ Hz, $J_{5,6eq}$, H-5), 3.41 (dd, 1H, $J_{5,6ax}$, ²J, H-6ax), 3.39 (dd, 1H, $J_{3,4}$, $J_{4,5}$, H-4), 1.88 and 1.87 (2s, 6H, CH₃-CO), 1.09 (d, 3H, $J_{Me,CH}$, CH₃-C=).

2,3-Di-*O*-chloroacetyl-4,6-*O*-ethylidene-β-D-glucopyranose (11β). Compound 9 (25.0 g, 55.6 mmol) in 10:1 acetone-ethanol (660 mL) was hydrogenolyzed in the presence of 10% Pd/C (15.0 g) at atmospheric pressure and room temperature for 1.75 h. The catalyst was filtered off and washed with 10:1 acetone-ethanol at 0 °C, and the filtrate was concentrated *in vacuo* at room temperature. The remaining syrup was coevaporated with toluene to give **11**β (20 g) and was used in subsequent steps without further purification: $[a]_D^{23}$ -27.5° (c 1, 10:1 acetone-ethanol); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, (CD₃)₂CO) δ 6.50 (d, 1H, J_{1,OH} = 6.3 Hz, OH-1), 5.32 (dd, 1H, J_{2,3} = 9.5 Hz, J_{3,4} = 9.5 Hz, H-3), 4.99 (dd, 1H, J_{1,2} = 7.6 Hz, J_{1,OH}, H-1), 4.91 (dd, 1H, J_{1,2}, J_{2,3}, H-2), 4.28 (d, 1H, ²J = 15.0 Hz, Cl-CH-A), 4.27 (d, 1H, ²J = 15 Hz, Cl-CH-A'), 4.23 (d, 1H, ²J, Cl-CH-B), 4.22 (d, 1H, ²J, Cl-CH-B'), 4.11 (dd, 1H, J_{5,6eq} = 4.4 Hz, ²J = 8.9 Hz, H-6eq), 3.61 (dd, 1H, J_{5,6ax} = 9.6 Hz, ²J, H-6ax, 3.58 (dd, 1H, J_{3,4}, J_{4,5} = 9.5 Hz, H-4), 3.50 (ddd, 1H, J_{4,5}, J_{5,6ax}, J_{5,6eq}, H-5), 1.22 (d, 1H, J_{Me,CH}, CH₃-C=).

11 β was analyzed after chromatography as a mixture of $11\alpha/11\beta$.

2,3-Di-O-chloroacetyl-4,6-O-ethylidene- α - and β -D-glucopyranose (11 α /11 β). Compound 11 β (1.0 g, 2.78 mmol) was filtered on a column of silica gel (25 g) with 4:1 dichloromethane-acetone to give a mixture of the α - and β -hydroxy compound 11 α /11 β (α : β ~1:1, 0.96 g, 96\%): syrup; [α]_D²³ +14.7° (c 1, chloroform).

Compound 11a: ¹H NMR (400 MHz, $(CD_3)_2CO$) d 6.35 (dd, 1H, $J_{1,OH} = 3.8$ Hz, ⁴J_{2,OH} = 1.3 Hz, OH-1), 5.57 (dd, 1H, $J_{2,3} = 9.8$ Hz, $J_{3,4} 9.8$ Hz, H-3), 5.43 (dd, 1H, $J_{1,2} = 3.8$ Hz, $J_{1,OH}$, H-1), 4.86 (ddd, 1H, $J_{1,2}$, $J_{2,3}$, ⁴J, H-2), 4.77 (q, 1H, $J_{Me,CH} = 5.0$ Hz, Me-CH=), 4.3-4.2 (m, 2H, ClCH₂), 4.03 (dd, 1H, $J_{5,6eq} = 5.0$ Hz, ²J = 10.1 Hz, H-6eq), 3.96 (ddd, 1H, $J_{4,5} = 9.5$ Hz, $J_{5,6ax} = 9.5$ Hz; $J_{5,6eq}$, H-5), 3.62 (dd, 1H, $J_{5,6ax}$, ²J, H-6ax), 3.56 (dd, 1H, $J_{3,4}$, $J_{4,5}$, H-4), 1.23 (d, 3H, $J_{Me,CH} = 5.0$ Hz; CH₃C=).

Anal. Calcd for C₁₂H₁₆Cl₂O₈; C, 40.13; H, 4.49. Found: C, 40.03; H, 4.53.

4'-O-Benzyloxycarbonyl-4-O-(2,3-di-O-acetyl-4,6-O-ethylidene-β-D-glucopy-

ranosyl)-4'-O-demethyl-4-epi-podophyllotoxin (14 β). A cooled (-20 °C) solution of 4'-O-benzyloxycarbonyl-4'-O-demethyl-4-epi-podophyllotoxin (12, 164 mg, 0.31 mmol) and BF₃-etherate (50%, 0.39 mL) were added to the mixture of 10 β (90 mg, 0.31 mmol) and powdered molecular sieves 4 Å (200 mg) at -20 °C. After stirring for 1 h at -18 °C, triethylamine (0.43 mL) and dichloromethane (20 mL) were added. The mixture was stirred for 5 min at -18 °C, filtered and the filtrate was washed successively with citrate buffer (pH 5.5, 20 mL) and saturated NaCl aqueous solution (20 mL). The organic layer was dried (sodium sulfate) and concentrated *in vacuo*. The remaining product was chromatographed on a column of silica gel (65 g) with 5:5:1 dichloromethane-petroleum ether-ethyl acetate to give 14 β (192 mg, 77 %): mp 228 °C; $[\alpha]_D$ -58.2° (c 1, chloroform). Lit.⁵: mp 230°C; $[a]_D$ -60.4°(c 1.054, chloroform); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ

7.44-7.32 (m, 5H, Ph), 6.78 (s, 1H, H-5), 6.54 (s, 1H, H-8), 6.26 (s, 2H, H-2' and H-6'), 6.01 (d, 1H, J =1.0 Hz, H-15-A), 5.99 (d, 1H, J = 1.0 Hz, H-15-B), 5.26 (s, 2H, Ph-CH₂), 5.22 (dd, 1H, $J_{2",3"} = 9.0$ Hz, $J_{3",4"} = 9.5$ Hz, H-3"), 4.92 (dd, 1H, $J_{1",2"} = 7.5$ Hz, $J_{2",3"}$, H-2"), 4.84 (d, 1H, $J_{3,4} = 3.3$ Hz, H-4), 4.80 (d, 1H, $J_{1",2"}$, H-1"), 4.70 (q, 1H, $J_{Me,CH} =$ 5.0 Hz, Me-CH=), 4.61 (d, 1H, $J_{1,2} = 5.0$ Hz, H-1), 4.40 (dd,1H, $J_{3,11a} = 10.5$ Hz, $^2J = 9.0$ Hz, H-11-A), 4.23 (dd, 1H, $J_{3,11b} = 7.5$ Hz, 2J , H-11-B), 4.20 (dd, 1H, $J_{5",6"eq} = 4.5$ Hz, 2J = 10.3 Hz, H-6"eq), 3.67 (s, 6H, OMe), 3.59 (dd, 1H, $J_{5",6"ax} = 9.7$ Hz, 2J , H-6"ax), 3.47 (dd, 1H, $J_{3",4"}$, $J_{4",5"} = 9.0$ Hz, H-4"), 3.38 (ddd, 1H, $J_{4",5"}$, $J_{5",6"ax}$, $J_{5",6"eq}$, H-5"), 3.17 (dd, 1H, $J_{1,2}$, $J_{2,3} = 14.5$ Hz, H-2), 2.84 (m, 1H, $\Sigma J = 36$ Hz, H-3), 2.06 and 1.84 (2s, 6H, Ac), 1.35 (d, 3H, $J_{Me,CH}$, CH₃C=).

4'-O-Benzyloxycarbonyl-4-O-(2,3-di-O-acetyl-4,6-O-ethylidene-α- and -β-Dglucopyranosyl)-4'-O-demethyl-4-epi-podophyllotoxin (14 α and 14 β). A mixture of compounds 10a/10B (72 mg, 0.25 mmol) and epi-podophyllotoxin 12 (133 mg, 0.25 mmol) were dissolved in dichloromethane (20 mL). After addition of powdered molecular sieves 4 Å (200 mg), BF₃-etherate (50%, 0.31 mL) was added at -20 °C. The mixture was stirred for 1 h at -20 °C. Work-up and chromatography as described for the preparation of compound 14 β resulted in compounds 14 α (95 mg, 47%) and 14 β (70 mg, 35%); Compound 14α: mp 136 °C; [α] +49.5° (c 1, chloroform); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.44-7.32 (m, 5H, Ph), 6.89 (s,1H, H-5), 6.54 (s, 1H, H-8), 6.28 (s, 2H, h-2' and H-6'), 6.01 (d, 1H, ${}^{2}J$ = 1.2 Hz, H-15-A), 5.08 (d, 1H, ${}^{2}J$, H-15-B), 5.36 (dd, 1H, $J_{2",3"}$ = 10.0 Hz, $J_{3'',4''} = 9.5$ Hz, H-3''), 5.26 (s, 2H, Ph-CH₂), 5.19 (d, 1H, $J_{1'',2''} = 4.0$ Hz, H-1''), 4.97 (dd, 1H, $J_{1",2"}$, $J_{2",3"}$, H-2''), 4.70 (d, 1H, $J_{1,2} = 5.0$ Hz, H-1), 4.68 (d, 1H, $J_{3,4} = 3.1$ Hz, H-4), 4.63 (q, 1H, $J_{Me,CH} = 5.0$ Hz, Me-CH=), 4.32 (dd, 1H, $J_{3,11a} = 10.5$ Hz, $^{2}J = 8.0$ Hz, H-11-A), 4.26 (dd, 1H, $J_{3,11b} = 8.0$ Hz, ²J, H-11-B), 4.02 (dd, 1H, $J_{5'',6''eq} = 2.8$ Hz, ²J = 9.0 Hz, H-6''eq), 3.68 (s, 6H, MeO), 3.51 (dd, 1H, $J_{5'',6''ax} = 10.0$ Hz, ²J, H-6''ax), 3.51 (m, 1H, H-5''), 3.49 (dd,1H, $J_{1,2}$, $J_{2,3}$ = 14.5 Hz, H-2), 3.42 (dd,1H, $J_{3'',4''}$, $J_{4'',5''}$ = 10.0 Hz, H-4''), 2.86 (m, 1H, ΣJ = 36.2 Hz, H-3), 2.10 (s, 3H, Ac), 2.06 (s, 3H, Ac), 1.29 (d, 3H, J_{Me.CH}, CH₃-C=).

Anal. Calcd for C₄₁H₄₂O₁₇: C, 61.04; H, 5.25. Found: C, 61.09; H, 5.27.

4'-O-Benzyloxycarbonyl-4-O-(2,3-di-O-chloroacetyl-4,6-O-ethylidene-β-D-

glucopyranosyl)-4'-O-demethyl-4-epi-podophyllotoxin (15 β). A cooled (-20 °C) solution of epipodophyllotoxin 12 (28.8 g, 53.9 mmol) and BF₃-etherate (50%, 57.6 mL) in dichloromethane (1200 mL) was added to a mixture of compound 11 β (23.7 g, 65.99 mmol) and powdered molecular sieves 4 Å (25 g) at -20 °C. The reaction mixture was stirred for 2.5 h at -20 °C. Triethylamine (60 mL) was poured into the stirred mixture at -20 °C and filtered off. The filtrate was washed successively with citrate buffer (pH 5.5, 300 mL x 3) and ice-cooled water. The organic layer was dried (sodium sulfate) and concentrated *in vacuo*. The residue was chromatographed on a column of silica gel (600 g) with 3:1:1 diethyl ether-petroleum ether-dichloromethane to afford compound 15, which

was recrystallized from dichloromethane-ether-petroleum ether to give 15 β (36.7 g, 78%): mp 152 °C; $[\alpha]_D$ -48.2° (c 1, chloroform); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.44-7.32 (m, 5H, Ph), 6.73 (s, 1H, H-5), 6.55 (s, 1H, H-8), 6.25 (s, 2H, H-2' and H-6'), 6.02 (d, 1H, ²J 1.0 Hz, H-15-A), 6.01 (d, 1H, ²J, H-15-B), 5.30 (dd, 1H, J₂, 3, = 9.0 Hz, J₃, 4, = 10.2 Hz, H-3''), 5.25 (s, 2H, Ph-CH₂), 4.98 (dd, 1H, J₁, 2, 7.7 Hz, J₂, 3, H-2''), 4.87 (d, 1H, J₁, 2, H-1''), 4.84 (d, 1H, J_{3,4} = 3.2 Hz, H-4), 4.70 (q, 1H, J_{Me,CH} = 5.0 Hz, Me-CH=), 4.61 (d, 1H, J_{1,2} = 5.3 Hz, H-1), 4.38 (dd, 1H, J_{3,11a} = 10.5 Hz, ²J = 8.5 Hz, H-11-A), 4.23 (dd, 1H, J_{3,11b} = 7.5 Hz, ²J, H-11-B), 4.22, (dd, 1H, J₅, 6, eq = 4.5 Hz, ²J = 10.5 Hz, H-6''), 4.08 (d, 1H, ²J = 15.0 Hz, Cl-CH-A), 4.02 (d, 1H, ²J, Cl-CH-B), 3.86 (d, 1H, ²J, Cl-CH-A'), 3.72 (d, 1H, ²J, Cl-CH-B'), 3.66 (s, 6H, MeO), 3.60 (dd, 1H, J₅, 6, ex = 10.0 Hz, ²J, H-6''ax), 3.52 (dd, 1H, J₃, 4, J₄, J₄, 5, = 9.0 Hz, H-4''), 3.43 (ddd, 1H, J₄, 5, J₅, 6, ex, J₅, 6, ex, H-5''), 3.20 (dd, 1H, J_{1,2} = 5.0 Hz, J_{2,3} = 14.2 Hz, H-2), 2.85 (m, 1H, ΣJ = 36.1 Hz, H-3), 1.35 (d, 3H, J_{Me,CH}, CH₃-C=).

Anal. Calcd for $C_{41}H_{40}Cl_2O_{17}$: C, 56.24; H, 4.60; Cl, 8.10. Found C, 56.39; H, 4.62.

4'-O-Benzyloxycarbonyl-4-O-(2,3-di-O-chloroacetyl-4,6-O-ethylidene-αand - β -D-glucopyranosyl)-4'-O-demethyl-4-epipodophyllotoxin (15 α and 15 β). Epi-podophyllotoxin 12 (530 mg, 0.99 mmol) and a mixture of $11\alpha/11\beta$ ($\alpha:\beta=1:1$, 360 mg, 1 mmol) were dissolved in dichloromethane (30 mL). After addition of powdered molecular sieves (1 g), BF₃-etherate (50%, 0.9 mL) was added at -20 °C and the mixture was stirred for 2 h at -20 °C. Work-up and chromatography as described for the preparation of compound 15 β resulted in compounds 15 α (400 mg, 45.8%) and 15 β (361 mg, 41.3%): Compound 15 α : mp 136 °C; $[\alpha]_D$ + 49.5° (c 1, chloroform); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃), δ 7.44-7.32 (m, 5H, Ph), 6.28 (s, 1H, H-5), 6.55 (s, 1H, H-8), 6.27 (s, 2H, H-2' and H-6'), 6.01 (d, 1H, ${}^{2}J$ = 15.0 Hz, H-15-A), 5.99 (d, 1H, ${}^{2}J$, H-15-B), 5.42 (dd, 1H, $J_{2''3''}$ = 10.0 Hz, J_{3".4"} = 9.0 Hz, H-3"), 5.26 (d, 1H, J_{1".2"} = 3.7 Hz, H-1"), 5.25 (s, 2H, Ph-CH₂), 5.08 (dd, 1H, $J_{1",2"}$; $J_{2",3"}$, H-2"), 4.72 (d, 1H, $J_{3,4}$ =3.0 Hz, H-4), 4.71 (d, 1H, $J_{1,2}$ = 5.0 Hz, H-1), 4.64 (q, 1H, J_{Me.CH} = 5.0 Hz, Me-CH=), 4.26 (d, 1H, J_{3.11a} = 10.5 Hz, H-11-A), 4.26 (d, 1H, $J_{3.11b} = 8.0$ Hz, H-11-B), 4.11 (d, 1H, ²J = 15.0 Hz, Cl-CH-A), 4.03 (d, 1H, ²J, Cl-CH-B), 4.03 (s, 2H, Cl-CH₂), 3.98 (dd, 1H, $J_{5'',6''eq} = 4.5$ Hz, ²J 10.0 Hz, H-6''eq), 3.68 (s, 6H, MeO), 3.64 (dd, 1H, $J_{5'',6''ax} = 10.0$ Hz, ²J, H-6''ax), 3.52 (ddd, $J_{4'',5''} = 10.0$ Hz, $J_{5'',6''ax}, J_{5'',6''eq}, H-5''$, 3.49 (dd, 1H, $J_{3'',4''}, J_{4'',5''}, H-4''$), 3.46 (dd, 1H, $J_{1,2}, J_{2,3} = 14.0$ Hz, H-2), 2.88 (m, 1H, ΣJ = 36.0 Hz, H-3), 1.29 (d, 3H, J_{Me,CH}, CH₃-C=).

Anal. Calcd for $C_{41}H_{40}Cl_2O_{17}$: C, 56,24; H, 4.60; Cl, 8.10. Found: C, 56.13; H, 4.61.

4'-O-Chloroacetyl-4-O-(2,3-di-O-chloroacetyl-4,6-O-ethylidene- β -D-glucopyranosyl)-4'-O-demethyl-4-epi-podophyllotoxin (16). A cooled (-20 °C) solution of 4'-O-chloroacetyl-4'-O-demethyl-4-epi-podophyllotoxin 13 (2.57 g, 5.4 mmol) and BF₃etherate (50 %, 5.8 mL) in dichloromethane (120 mL) was added to a mixture of compound 11 β (2.22 g, 6.2 mmol) and powdered molecular sieves 4 Å (2.5 g) at -20 °C. After 3 h stirring, the mixture was worked up as described for the preparation of the compound 15 β . The resulting product was chromatographed on a column of silica gel (50 g) with 35:1 dichloromethane-acetone to give 16 (3.22 g, 73%): mp 241-243 °C; [α]_D -63.9° (c 1, chloroform); Lit.⁶: mp 244-246 °C; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.74 (s, 1H, H-5), 6.57 (s, 1H, H-8), 6.26 (s, 2H, H-2' and H-6'), 6.04 (d, 1H, J = 1.2 Hz, H-15-A), 6.01 (d, 1H, J = 1.2 Hz, H-15-B), 5.31 (dd, 1H, J₂...₃... = 9.5 Hz, J₃...₄... = 9.5 Hz, H-3''), 4.99 (dd, 1H, J₁...₂... =7.6 Hz, H-2''), 4.87 (d, 1H, J₁...₂..., H-1''), 4.85 (d, 1H, J₃... = 3.2 Hz, H-4), 4.70 (q, 1H, J_{Me,CH} = 5.0 Hz, Me-CH=), 4.62 (d, 1H, J_{1,2} = 5.0 Hz, H-1), 4.38 (dd, 1H, J_{3,11a} = 10.7 Hz, ²J = 8.8 Hz, H-11-A), 4.34 (s, 2H, Cl-CH₂), 4.24 (dd, 1H, J_{3,11b} = 7.6 Hz, ²J, H-11-B), 4.22 (dd, 1H, J₅...₆..._{eq} = 4.4 Hz, ²J = 10.1 Hz, H-6''eq), 4.08 (d, 1H, ²J = 14.5 Hz, Cl-CH-A), 4.03 (d, 1H, ²J, Cl-CH-B), 3.60 (dd, 1H, J₅...₆..._{ax} = 10.1 Hz, ²J, H-6''ax), 3.53 (dd, 1H, J₃...₄... = 9.0 Hz, H-4''), 3.43 (ddd, 1H, J₄...₅..., J₅...₆..._{ax}, J₅...₆..._{eq} H-5''), 3.20 (dd, 1H, J_{1,2}, J_{2,3} = 13.9 Hz, H-2), 2.85 (m, 1H, \SigmaJ = 36 Hz, H-3), 1.35 (d, 1H, J_{Me,CH}, CH₃-C=).

Anal. Calcd for C₃₅H₃₅Cl₃O₁₆: C, 51.39; H, 4.31; Cl, 13.00. Found: C, 51.45; H, 4.29.

4'-O-Benzyloxycarbonyl-4-O-(4,6-O-ethylidene- β -D-glucopyranosyl)-4'-O-demethyl-4-epi-podophyllotoxin (17). Compound 15 β (10.72 g, 12.2 mmol) was dissolved in 3:2 methanol-dichloromethane (750 mL) and stirred with Dowex 1X8 (22.6 g; mesh size: 20-50 pract.; counter ion: H; Serva) for 1 h at room temperature. The resin was filtered off and washed with methanol, and the combined filtrate and washings were concentrated *in vacuo*. The residue was dissolved in dichloromethane (250 mL) and washed successively with phosphate buffer (pH 7.5, 70 mL) and saturated NaCl aqueous solution. The organic layer was dried (sodium sulfate) and concentrated *in vacuo*. The residue was crystallized from ethyl acetate-petroleum ether to give 17 (8.37 g, 95%): mp 162 °C; $[\alpha]_D$ -79.5° (c 1, chloroform); Lit.⁵: mp 156-157 °C; $[\alpha]_D$ -84.6° (c 1.04, chloroform).

4-O-(4,6-O-Ethylidene- β -D-glucopyranosyl)-4'-O-demethyl-4-epi-podophyllotoxin (1). A; starting from 17: Compound 17 (9.7 g, 13.4 mmol) in methanol (160 mL) was hydrogenolyzed in the presence of 10% Pd/C (5 g) at atmospheric pressure and room temperature for 40 min. The catalyst was filtered off on a Celite pad, washed with methanol, and then the filtrate was concentrated *in vacuo*. The residue was crystallized from methanol-dichloromethane-hexane to give 1 (7.17 g, 91%): mp 254-256 °C; $[\alpha]_D^{23}$ -109,6° (c 1, chloroform); Lit.⁵: mp 253-255 °C; $[\alpha]_D$ -111.0° (c 1.046, chloroform); Lit.⁶: 259-262 °C. *B*; starting from 16: Compound 16 (3.50 g, 4.28 mmol) was dissolved in 3:2 methanol-dichloromethane (80 mL) and Dowex 1X8 (8.0 g) was added. The mixture was stirred for 1 h at room temperature. After filtration of the resin and washing with methanol, the combined filtrate and washings were concentrated *in vacuo*. The residue was dissolved in 20:1 dichloromethane-methanol (25 mL) and washed with phosphate buffer (pH 7, 10 mL). The organic layer was dried (sodium sulfate) and concentrated *in vacuo*. The residue was crystallized from methanol-dichloromethane-hexane to give 1 (2.24 g, 89%): mp 254-257 °C; $[\alpha]_D$ 108.9° (c 1, chloroform).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors would like to thank Dr. S. Berger and Dr. T. Kämpchen of the University of Marburg for measuring the NMR spectra.

REFERENCES

- 1. I. Jardine in Anticancer Agents Based on Natural Product Models; J. M. Cassady and J. D. Douros, Eds.; Academic Press: New York, 1980, p 319.
- 2. J. M. S. van Maanen, J. Retèl, J. de Vries, and H. M. Pinetdo, J. National Cancer Inst., 80, 1526 (1988).
- 3. M. Kuhn, A. von Wartburg, Helv. Chim. Acta, 52, 948 (1969).
- 4. M. Kuhn and A. von Wartburg, *Hevl. Chim. Acta*, **51**, 1631 (1968). We followed Kuhn's and von Wartburg's suggestion of using the term glycosidation to define the synthesis of glycoside, in which the glycosyloxy group is transferred to the carbonium ion of the aglycone.
- A. von Wartburg, M. Kuhn, C. Keller-Justlén, and J. Renz, US Pat. 3408441, (1968); Chem. Abstr., 70(17):78339g.
- 6. H. Saito, Y. Nishimura, S. Kondo, and H. Umezawa, Chem. Letters, 1987, 799.
- E. Lee and J. O. Wood, Carbohydr. Res., 75, 317 (1979); E. Lee, J. O'Callaghan, and J. P. O'Reilly, Carbohydr. Res., 105, 266 (1982).
- 8. E. V. Piel and C. B. Purves, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 61, 2978 (1939).
- F. Micheel and A. Klemer, Adv. Carbohydr. Chem., 16, 85 (1961). J. L. Randall and K.C. Nicolaou in ACS Symposium Series 374: Fluorinated Carbohydrates; N. F. Taylor, Ed.; ACS, Washington, DC, 1988, p 13.
- K. C. Nicolaou, A. Chucholowski, R. E. Dolle, and J. E. Randall, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1984, 1155.
- 11. M. Kreuzer and J. Thiem, Carbohydr. Res. 149, 347 (1986).
- 12. M. Kuhn, C. Keller-Juslén, and A. von Wartburg, Helv. Chim. Acta, 52, 944 (1968).
- 13. E. Lee, A. Bruzzi, E. O'Brien, and P. S. O'Colla, Carbohydr. Res. 35, 103 (1974).
- 14. R. W. Binkley, Modern Carbohydrate Chemistry, Marcel Dekker, New York & Basel, 1988, p 142.